Project: ZGH Green Loan
Purchaser: Zagrebački holding d.o.o. (ZGH)
Country: Croatia
Reference No. (as per Procurement Plan): WBP - 3
Zagreb, September 14, 2026

To: all Proposers

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Dear Sirs/Madam,

With reference to the above stated assignment and the Bidding Documentation (Request for Proposals - RfP) that is issued for this assignment (on August 14, 2026), please be informed that, based on the request by some Proposers and in line with the Request for Proposals (Section I. "Instructions to Proposers" – para. 8.1.), the following adjustments will be applied to the Request for Proposals through this Amendment:

Article 1.

1. Inquiry: RFP (pg 48–49, 56–60) The minimum technical passing score is 4.5, while the weighted model has a maximum total score of 4. Please correct the minimum technical passing score by formal addendum and provide a complete numerical example showing the maximum score and the passing threshold

Amendment: This part in the RfP is changed to read as follows:

The request of the Proposer is accepted.
The minimum passing threshold is adjusted to 3.0 out of 4.0 weighted points.

Article 2.

2. Inquiry: RFP (pg 174, 179) One clause in 2.7 says that one person may not perform more than one function, while a later clause permits up to two functions. Please issue a corrected and definitive rule on role combinations and confirm the minimum number of distinct persons required.

Amendment: This part in the RfP is changed to read as follows:

The request of the Proposer is accepted.
One person may not perform more than one expert function within this procurement procedure.

Article 3.

3. Inquiry: RFP (pg 166, 298, 312) The SCC states that GCC Clause 44 Cyber Security does not apply, while the technical requirements impose extensive cyber security obligations. Please confirm the binding contractual cyber security obligations and amend the SCC so that controls, incident duties, audit rights and remedies are enforceable.

Amendment: This part in the RfP is changed to read as follows:

The request of the Proposer is accepted.

A legal/contractual discrepancy has been identified where SCC 44.1 states that GCC Clause 44 (Cyber Security) 'Does not apply', while Section VII 1.6 and technical requirements explicitly mandate compliance with the Cybersecurity Act (NIS2 Directive) and ISO/IEC 27001 standard. Addendum is required to amend SCC 44.1 and confirm the full applicability of cybersecurity provisions and remedies.

Article 4.

4. Inquiry: RFP (pg 47, 199–202, 210) The legacy solution is stated to cease operation on 1 June 2027, only 249 calendar days after the proposal deadline, while JUP go live may occur up to eleven months after contract signature and full scope up to eighteen months. Please provide a feasible binding continuity plan, revised milestone dates and responsibility for extending, bridging or replacing the legacy service until each new function is accepted.

Amendment: This part in the RfP is changed to read as follows:

The request of the Proposer is accepted.

The Purchaser undertakes to ensure access and technical cooperation from its existing suppliers and owners of external systems, in accordance with the Purchaser's general obligations under GCC Article 10 and Section VII 2.1, and to ensure support/maintenance of the legacy GKIS system to guarantee business continuity until full operational acceptance of the new system.

Article 5.

5. Inquiry: RFP (pg 202, 306–308) The technical milestone percentages are 25, 25, 15, 20 and 15, totalling 100 per cent. SCC 12.1 states 25, 25, 15, 20 and 20, totalling 105 per cent. Please issue a corrected single payment schedule by formal addendum and confirm which milestones, acceptance certificates and invoice dates trigger payment.

Amendment: This part in the RfP is changed to read as follows:

The request of the Proposer is accepted.

Schedule table in Section VII is correct (25%+25%+15%+20%+15%). SCC 12.1 is corrected to exactly 100% (Payment No. 5 is harmonized to 15%).

Article 6.

6. Inquiry: RFP (pg 201, 268–269, 311) The technical requirements state liquidated damages of 0.2 per cent of the total implementation price per day, capped at 10 per cent, while GCC 28 states 0.5 per cent per week of the Contract Price or relevant subsystem, also capped at 10 per cent. Please confirm the sole applicable rate, time unit, price base, subsystem treatment, grace period and aggregate cap and amend the conflicting text.

Amendment: This part in the RfP is changed to read as follows:

The request of the Proposer is accepted.

A discrepancy exists between Section VII page 201, which specifies liquidated damages at 0.2% per day of delay (max 10%), and GCC 28.2 / SCC 28.2, which specifies 0.5% per week (max 10%). Addendum is required to harmonize the liquidated damages rate to 0.5% per week of delay (max 10%).

Sincerely yours,

Zagrebački holding d.o.o.
Ulica grada Vukovara 41, 10000 Zagreb
www.zgh.hr